INFORMATION SOCIETIES TECHNOLOGY (IST) PROGRAMME

D.1.1.1: EuPKI 1st Management Report

Period April 1st – October 31, 2002

Authors: Yann FRAVAL & Vincent GASS
GIP-MDS

Version: 1.0
Date: 03/11/02
Status: VALIDATED

0 Table of Contents

0 TABLE OF CONTENTS 2

1 EUPKI OVERVIEW 4

1.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY BY WORK PACKAGE 4
1.1.1 WP1: Management 4
1.1.2 WP2: Dissemination - Exploitation 5
1.1.3 WP3: Requirements 5
1.1.4 WP4: Design & Specifications 6
1.1.5 WP5: Implementation 6
1.1.6 WP6: Integration 6
1.1.7 WP7: Demonstration 6
1.1.8 WP8: Guidelines for Security & Homologation 7
1.2 OVERALL ASSESSMENT & PROJECT OBJECTIVES 8
1.3 LIST OF TECHNICAL MEETINGS 9
1.4 LIST OF DISSEMINATION INITIATIVES 10

2 HUMAN RESSOURCES ALLOCATION REPORT 11

2.1 GIP-MDS 11
2.1.1 Work accomplished this period 11
2.1.2 Deliverables due this period 12
2.1.3 Effort for this Reporting Period 13
2.2 ACTIMAGE 14
2.2.1 Work accomplished this period 14
2.2.2 Deliverables due this period 15
2.2.3 Effort for this Reporting Period 15
2.3 SALAMANDRE 16
2.3.1 Work accomplished this period 16
2.3.2 Deliverables due this period 17
2.3.3 Effort for this Reporting Period 17
2.4 AQL 18
2.4.1 Work accomplished this period 18
2.4.2 Deliverables due this period 19
2.4.3 Effort for this Reporting Period 20
2.5 AXETEL 21
2.5.1 Work accomplished this period 21
2.5.2 Deliverables due this period 22
2.5.3 Effort for this Reporting Period 23
2.6 CERTINOMIS 24
2.6.1 Work accomplished this period 24
2.6.2 Deliverables due this period 25
2.6.3 Effort for this Reporting Period 26
2.7 INTESA 27
2.7.1 Work accomplished this period 27
2.7.2 Deliverables due this period 28
2.7.3 Effort for this Reporting Period 29
2.8 CGE&Y 30
2.8.1 Work accomplished this period 30
2.8.2 Deliverables due this period 31
2.8.3 Effort for this Reporting Period 32
2.9 EDF 33
2.9.1 Work accomplished this period 33

2.9.2 Deliverables due this period ... *34*
2.9.3 Effort for this Reporting Period ... *35*
2.10 FT R&D ... 36
2.10.1 Work accomplished this period ... *36*
2.10.2 Deliverables due this period ... *37*
2.10.3 Effort for this Reporting Period ... *38*
2.11 FINSIEL ... 39
2.11.1 Work accomplished this period ... *39*
2.11.2 Deliverables due this period ... *40*
2.11.3 Effort for this Reporting Period ... *40*
2.12 INPS ... 41
2.12.1 Work accomplished this period ... *41*
2.12.2 Deliverables due this period ... *41*
2.12.3 Effort for this Reporting Period ... *42*
2.13 GIESECKE & DEVRIENT ... 43
2.13.1 Work accomplished this period ... *43*
2.13.2 Deliverables due this period ... *45*
2.13.3 Effort for this Reporting Period ... *46*
2.14 ON'X ... 47
2.14.1 Work accomplished this period ... *47*
2.14.2 Deliverables due this period ... *49*
2.14.3 Effort for this Reporting Period ... *50*
2.15 EADS-TELECOM ... 51
2.15.1 Work accomplished this period ... *51*
2.15.2 Deliverables due this period ... *52*
2.15.3 Effort for this Reporting Period ... *53*

3 MANAGEMENT OVERVIEW ... 54

3.1 ISSUES ENCOUNTERED DURING THIS PERIOD AND SOLUTIONS ... 54
3.1.1 Change of the Manager of the project ... *54*
3.1.2 Delay in the D.3.6 final validation ... *54*
3.1.3 WP4 human ressources allocation changes ... *55*
3.1.4 Request from the consortium concerning the payment periodicity ... *55*
3.2 MANAGEMENT AND COST REPORTS ... 56
3.3 GLOBAL COORDINATION AND REPORTING EFFORT TO THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION ... 56
3.4 DISTRIBUTION OF THE PROJECT'S BUDGET ADVANCE ... 57

4 ACTIONS PLANS FOR THE CONTINUATION OF THE PROJECT ... 59

5 CONCLUSIONS ... 59

1 EuPKI Overview

1.1 Executive Summary by Work Package

1.1.1 WP1: Management

The project started with a kick-off meeting on 13th & 14th of April in Paris at GIP-MDS with all the partners. This meeting allowed to put the guidelines concerning the general organization of the consortium and the project: the organization of the Work Packages, the collaborative way to process, the documents treatment, the meetings, the principal deadlines, the deliverables… The WP1: "Management", led by GIP-MDS, exposed all those aspects and answered the members' questions.

Then, some months after, two Steering Boards, coordinated and animated by WP1, have been the occasion to kick off new starting Work Packages, to validate the deliverables through the Technical Board, to make the point upon Dissemination aspects through the Dissemination Board and to make several decisions for the continuation of the projects. The dates and description of those meetings are stated in the dedicated section below.

WP1 has produced Meeting reports for each meeting, which has occurred and checked the allocation of human resources, sticking to the contract and reporting to the European Commission. It has been taking care of the management and cost reports delivered by the members of the consortium.

1.1.2 WP2: Dissemination - Exploitation

The WP2: "Dissemination & Exploitation" was kicked off during the Project's Kick Off meeting. Led by ACTIMAGE, it exposed its propositions to build efficient tools to disseminate the goals and achievements of the project.

The first tool is a public website summarizing all-important information about the project. This website accessible under http://www.eupki.org/ was validated by all the members two months after the beginning of the project.

Then, one private extranet was launched to facilitate the communication and global collaborative work of the members of the consortium. This extranet, accessible under https://extranet.eupki.org/ includes diverse tools at the disposition of the partners.

These two structures have been described in one deliverable validated in July (D.2.1.2).

Different dissemination events have occurred here and there, all of them are summarized in the second dissemination report, to be delivered in November.

1.1.3 WP3: Requirements

The WP3: "Requirements" started during the Kick Off Meeting in April, with the principal objectives to put in relief the requirements and the global scope of the project.

The method chosen by the WP3 leaders, CGE&Y, was to lead brainstorming with all the WP3 members and voluntary members. It allowed producing three brainstorming meetings reports (D.3.2, D.3.3, D.3.4), one brainstorming method deliverable (D.3.1).

Then, the first project perimeter and requirements draft (D.3.5) was validated in August to let the place to the definitive Project Perimeter & Requirements Deliverables (D.3.6) in September.

1.1.4 WP4: Design & Specifications

The WP4: "Specifications & Design", led by Giesecke & Devrient, has been kicked off during the first Steering Meeting in July.

It produced the Architectural Specifications (D.4.1) that has been validated during the Second Steering Board.

Then, it is currently working on the design of the components interfaces, which will be the object of the two following deliverables, D.4.2 & D.4.3.

1.1.5 WP5: Implementation

The WP5: "Implementation", led by AXETEL, has been kicked off during the Second Steering Meeting. It is currently drawing action plans and planning the delivery of the modules put in evidence in the D.4.1.

1.1.6 WP6: Integration

The WP6: "Integration", led by ON'X, has produced one formal document to explain the way the consortium will or not integrate external PKI modules, the validation process they will have to be submitted to, the sources we could integrate and finally the responsibilities the contributors will have to take.

1.1.7 WP7: Demonstration

The WP7: "Demonstration", led by CertiNomis, has participated to the elaboration of the deliverables of WP3 & WP4.

1.1.8 WP8: Guidelines for Security & Homologation

The WP8: "Guidelines for Security & Homologation", led by AQL, delivered one deliverables D.8.1 summarizing general aspects for a potential homologation.

More than that, it participated in every technical meeting of the consortium to give the necessary technical framework for a potential homologation, pointed out at the end of the project. It disclaimed all security level aspects.

In conclusion, the Technical Assessments of the consortium have not failed to our objectives.

1.2 Overall Assessment & Project Objectives

Generally speaking, the collaboration of the different Work Packages has highlighted the interest and implication of all the members.

More than that, except for a very small change in the date of delivery for WP3 deliverables, no latency has occurred concerning the deadlines stated in the contract.

Globally, we still stick to the initial objectives that were:

This project will produce a libre software Public Key Infrastructure as the foundation component for secure exchange of information in e-administration and e-business applications. The project will provide libre access to a high quality, modular and totally open software and hardware solution to the market.

This project has three objectives :

1. Trust in implemented functionnalities: libre software development will ensure a total visibility of the global solution, enabling independent critical evaluation and thus establish maximum user trust and confidence.

2. Continuity of the solution: a libre software approach is the only way of proposing a solution which does not rest on only one private actor, and which is continuously supported, improved, and extended.

3. Quality in the released code : The software will have the industrial quality necessary for it to be applied in social administrations, in the healthcare domain, in private companies, allowing legally binding transactions. By their own nature, libre public software projects realize usually higher code quality than commercial implementations.

1.3 List of Technical Meetings

- Concerning the general Dissemination, Technical and Steering Boards Meetings:
  - Kick Off Meeting in Paris, at GIP-MDS: 13th & 14th of April 2002
  - 1st Steering Board Meeting in Munich, at Giesecke & Devrient: 5th of July 2002
  - 2nd Steering Board Meeting in Paris, at GIP-MDS: 24th of September 2002

- Concerning internal WP3 meetings:
  - Kick Off Meeting in Paris, at GIP-MDS: 13th & 14th of April 2002
  - First Brainstorming Meeting: 24th of April 2002 in Paris at CGE&Y
  - Second Brainstorming Meeting: 22nd of May 2002 in Paris at CGE&Y
  - Third Brainstorming Meeting: 4th of June 2002 in Paris at CGE&Y
  - Draft review Meeting: 4th of July 2002 in Munich, at Giesecke & Devrient
  - Final Review Meeting: 24th of September 2002 in Paris, at GIP-MDS.

- Concerning internal WP4 meetings:
  - Kick Off Meeting in Munich, at Giesecke & Devrient: 12th of July 2002
  - D.4.1 Draft Review Meeting in Munich, at Giesecke & Devrient: 8th of August 2002

- Concerning WP8 meetings:
  - One training day organized by AQL in Paris, at CGE&Y: 21 th of May 2002.

- Concerning the points upon the project's achievements with the EC:
  - One Meeting in Brussels: 1st of August 2002
  - Global exchanges with other European Projects in Brussels during the conferences organized by the EC on the 24th & 25th of June 2002.

1.4 List of Dissemination initiatives

- Dissemination events:
  - Many presentations made by Eduard TRIC (AXETEL) before the starting date of the project (Brazil, Luxembourg, etc…).
  - Presentation of the project by M. Yann FRAVAL (GIP-MDS) at one ATICA meeting, Paris, on the 20th of June 2002.
  - Presentation of the project to the Public Research Center Henri Tudor in Luxembourg by M. Sebastien MISSENARD on the 30th of August (ACTIMAGE).
  - Presentation of the project in the RSA Conference and the Cisco Secure Tour by CGE&Y in October 2002.
  - Presentation of the project at ISSE Conference by AXETEL in Paris on the 2nd of October 2002.
  - Presentation of the project in the X-Aristote Conference by ON'X on the 17th of October 2002.
  - Presentation of the project by Giesecke & Devrient at BSI in Bonn (Bundesamt für Informationssicherheit).
  - Many presentations identified for the forthcoming period.

- Publications:
  - One meeting report about the presentation in the ATICA.
  - Publication of the deliverables on the website.
  - No essential publications or articles at this status of the project.

2 Human ressources allocation Report

2.1 GIP-MDS

Partner:	GIP-MDS	**Author:**	**Yann FRAVAL**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.1.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	**Actions**
WP1	**Project Management**
T1-1	Project Management : -General coordination of the consortium -Organisation and animation of the Steering Board -Organisation of the meetings -Implementation of management policy -Production of Management Report, Cost Reports -Supervision of the distribution of the payment advance -Reporting to the European Commission -Conflict Resolution
T1-2	Technical Management -Supervision of the overall technical management -Technical State-of-the-Art survey -Validation of the deliverables of the consortium
T1-3	Quality Management -Redaction of the deliverable D.1.3 with the Quality Plan -Furnitures of templates and guidelines
WP2	**Dissemination & Exploitation**
T2-2	Dissemination Activities -Conference at ATICA in Paris -EC internal Work Shops in Brussels
WP3	**Requirements**

T3-1	Requirements Analysis -Participation to the Requirements Brainstorming Meetings lead by CGE&Y
T3-2	Definition of the project perimeter and requirements -Participation to the redaction of the deliverables D.3.2, D.3.3, D.3.4, D.3.5, D.3.6
WP4	**Specifications & design**
T4-1	Overall Architecture -Attendances to the internal WP4 meetings -Participation to the redaction of the architecture deliverable D.4.1

2.1.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	Due	Deliverable	Status (Final, Draft, Pending)
WP1/T1-1	M7	D.1.1.1 Management Report 1	Draft
WP1/T1-2	M5	D.1.2.1 Technical Report 1	Draft
WP1/T1-3	M3	D.1.3 Quality Plan	Final
WP2/T2-1	M4	D.2.1 Dissemination Tools Implementation Report	Final
WP3/T3-1	M0,5	D.3.1 Brainstorming Requirement Analysis	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1	D.3.2 Report of First Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1,5	D.3.3 Report of Second Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M2	D.3.4 Report of Third Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-2	M2,5	D.3.5 Review of the draft of the definition of the perimeter of the project	Final
WP3/T3-2	M3	D.3.6 Definition of the perimeter of the project	Final
WP4/T4-1	M4	D.4.1 Architectural Specifications	Final

2.1.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours This Period	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End		Since start
WP1							
T1-1	500	M0	M20	M0	M20	200	200
T1-2	300	M0	M20	M0	M20	100	100
T1-3	180	M0	M20	M0	M20	180	180
Total	**980**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**480**	**480**
WP2							
T2-2	60	M0	M20	M2	M20	20	20
T2-3	10	M0	M20	M3	M20	10	10
Total	**70**	**M0**	**M20**	**M2**	**M20**	**30**	**30**
WP3							
T3-1	70	M0	M2	M0	M2,5	70	70
T3-2	70	M1,5	M3	M5	M7	70	70
Total	**140**	**M0**	**M3**	**M0**	**M7**	**140**	**140**
WP4							
T4-1	70	M2	M6	M3	M7	40	40
Total	**70**	**M2**	**M6**	**M3**	**M7**	**40**	**40**

2.2 ACTIMAGE

Partner:	ACTIMAGE	**Author:**	**Christophe MEGEL**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.2.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	**Action**
WP2	**Dissemination / Exploitation**
T2-1	Dissemination Tools Implementation -Implementation of the public website -Implementation of the private extranet -Referencing strategies -Delivery of the bi-monthly newsletter -Hosting strategy -Maintenance of the websites -Redaction of the deliverable D.2.1: "Dissemination Tools Implementation Report"
T2-2	Dissemination Activities -3 Presentations: two in France, one in Luxembourg -Attendances to 3 conferences (France, Brussels, Copenhaguen) -General coordination of the dissemination activities -Establishment of templates (posters, presentations, leaflets…) -Redaction of the D.2.2.1: "Dissemination Report 1"
T2-3	Exploitation Activities -Analysis of the clauses for exploitation towards the members -Redaction of the D.2.3.1: "Exploitation Report 1" -Evaluation of the know-how -Product positioning
WP1	**Management**
T1-1	Project Management : -Participation to the Kick Off Meeting, the three Steering Boards -Production of the Management Reports and Costs Reports

2.2.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	Due	Deliverable	Status (Final, Draft, Pending)
WP2/T2-1	M3	Public Website	Final
WP2/T2-1	M4	Private Extranet	Final
WP2/T2-1	M4	D.2.1 Dissemination tools Implementation Report	Final
WP2/T2-2	M7	Dissemination Report 1	Draft
WP2/T2-3	M7	Exploitation Report 1	Draft
WP1/T1-1	M7	Management Report 1	Draft

2.2.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End	This Period	Since start
WP1							
T1-1	140	M0	M20	M0	M20	40	40
T1-2	70	M0	M20	M0	M20	20	20
T1-3	70	M0	M20	M0	M20	20	20
Total	**280**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**80**	**80**
WP2							
T2-1	420	M0	M2	M0	M2	400	400
T2-2	280	M2	M20	M2	M20	80	80
T2-3	280	M3	M20	M3	M20	20	20
Total	**980**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**580**	**580**

2.3 SALAMANDRE

Partner:	SALAMANDRE	**Author:**	**Pierre SELLIER**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.3.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	**Actions**
WP1	**Project Management**
T1-1	Project Management : - Work done to lower conflicts between consortium members
WP2	**Dissemination & Exploitation**
T2-3	Exploitation Activities : - Analysis of business development strategies for Eupki. - Meetings with DCSSI in France and BSI in Germany.

2.3.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	Due	Deliverable	Status (Final, Draft, Pending)
WP2 / T2-3	M7	D.2.3.1: « Exploitation report »	Draft

2.3.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours This Period	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End		Since start
WP1							
T1-1	56	M0	M20	M0	M20	50	50
Total	**56**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**50**	**50**
WP2							
T2-3	140	M0	M20	M2	M20	110	110
Total	**140**	**M0**	**M20**	**M2**	**M20**	**110**	**110**

2.4 AQL

Partner:	AQL	**Author:**	**Philippe ENSARGUET**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.4.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	**Actions**
WP1	**Project Management**
T1-1	Project Management : - Project management activities - Participation in Meeting (Paris, Munich) - Monthly progress Report activities
WP3	**Requirements**
T3-1	Requirements Analysis - Brainstorming - Participation in working meetings(3) in Paris - Reading acitivities & feedbacks
T3-2	Definition of the project perimeter and requirements - Reading activities & feedbacks - Brainstorming & participation in the Deliverable 3.6
WP4	**Specifications & design**
T4-1	Overall Architecture - Participation in the specific annex concerning security - Reading acitivities
WP8	**Guidelines for Security & Homologation**
T8-1	Scope of the homologation process - Animation, reflexion and writting of the D8.1 - Animation of a specific workshop on the Common Criteria
T8-2	Stating of the Security requirements - Document in progress, draft document has been proposed

2.4.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	Due	Deliverable	Status (Final, Draft, Pending)
WP1/T1-1	M7	D.1.1.1 : « Management report »	Draft
WP8/T8.1	M3	D8.1 v1.0 : "Security Reference Document List"	Final
WP8/T8.2	M4	D8.2 v0.03 : "Components subject to homologation process"	Draft

2.4.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours This Period	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End		Since start
WP1							
T1-1	60	M0	M20	M0	M20	49	49
T1-2	10	M0	M20	M0	M20	0	0
Total	**70**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**49**	**49**
WP2							
T2-1	70	M0	M20	M0	M2	0	0
Total	**70**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M2**	**0**	**0**
WP3							
T3-1	30	M0	M2	M0	M2,5	30	30
T3-2	40	M1,5	M3	M5	M7	40	40
Total	**70**	**M0**	**M3**	**M0**	**M7**	**70**	**70**
WP4							
T4-1	70	M2	M3,5	M3	M4,5	105	105
Total	**70**	**M2**	**M3,5**	**M3**	**M4,5**	**105**	**105**
WP5							
T5-1	70	M5	M12	M7	-	0	0
Total	**70**	**M5**	**M12**	**M7**	**-**	**0**	**0**
WP6							
T6-1 2 3 4	70	M2	M17	M7	-	0	0
Total	**70**	**M2**	**M17**	**M7**	**-**	**0**	**0**
WP8							
T8-1	280	M0	M3	M0	M3	68	68
T8-2	280	M0	M17	M0	M17	35,25	35,25
Total	**560**	**M0**	**M17**	**M0**	**M20**	**103,25**	**103,25**

2.5 AXETEL

Partner:	AXETEL	**Author:**	**Eduard TRIC**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.5.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	**Action**
WP1	**Project Management**
T1-1	Project Management: -Overall management for Axetel
WP2	
T2-2	**Dissemination Events** **-** Analyse, prepare and sent materials for some events (ISSE)
WP3	**Requirements**
T3-1	Requirements Analysis: -Participation to the Brainstorming Meetings lead by CGE&Y -Participate to the user requirements and needs. -Express the Romanian specificities for setting a PKI service. -Translate the Romanian law on electronic signature
T3-2	Perimeter of the project: -Participation to the redaction of the deliverables D.3.5 & D.3.6
WP4	**Specifications & Design**
T4-1	Architectural Specifications - Participate to the design process.
WP5	**Implementation**
T5-1	Development of the components -Maintain the software. -Be sure that specifications are realistic

2.5.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	Due	Deliverable	Status (Final, Draft, Pending)
WP1/T1-1	M7	D.1.1.1: Management report	Draft
WP3/T3-1	M0,5	D.3.1 Brainstorming Requirement Analysis	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1	D.3.2 Report of First Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1,5	D.3.3 Report of Second Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M2	D.3.4 Report of Third Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-2	M2,5	D.3.5 Review of the draft of the definition of the perimeter of the project	Final
WP3/T3-2	M3	D.3.6 Definition of the perimeter of the project	Final
WP4/T4-1	M4	D.4.1 Architectural Specifications	Final

2.5.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours This Period	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End		Since start
WP1							
T1-1	168	M0	M20	M0	M20	68	68
T1-2	168	M0	M20	M0	M20	100	100
Total	**336**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**168**	**168**
WP2							
T2-2	168	M2	M20	M2	M20	90	90
Total	**168**	**M2**	**M20**	**M2**	**M20**	**90**	**90**
WP3							
T3-1	168	M0	M2	M0	M2,5	168	168
T3-2	168	M1,5	M3	M1	M7	168	168
Total	**336**	**M0**	**M3**	**M0**	**M7**	**336**	**336**
WP4							
T4-1	130	M2	M3,5	M3	M4,5	206	206
T4-2	130	M3,5	M6	M4,5	M7	130	130
T4-3	76	M3,5	M6	M4,5	M7	0	0
Total	**336**	**M2**	**M6**	**M3**	**M7**	**336**	**336**
WP5							
T5-1	9000	M5	M12	M7	-	498	498
T5-2	72	M8	M18	-	-	0	0
Total	**9072**	**M5**	**M18**	**M7**	**-**	**498**	**498**

Note : The legal period of work in Romania is 1896 hours /year. The corresponding amount in person/month for Romania is 168h/personmonth.

2.6 CERTINOMIS

Partner:	CERTINOMIS	**Author:**	**Jean-Séverin LAIR**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.6.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	**Actions**
WP1	**Project Management**
T1-1	Project Management : - Production of the Management Reports - Participation to the Steering Committee meetings
T1-2	Technical Management : - Participation to the redaction of the Technical Report
WP3	**Requirements**
T3-1	Requirements Analysis - Participation to the Brainstorming Meetings - Participation to the redaction of the deliverables D.3.1, D.3.2, D.3.3, D.3.4
T3-2	Definition of the project perimeter and requirements - Participation to the redaction of the deliverables D.3.5, D.3.6
WP4	**Specifications & design**
T4-1	Overall Architecture - Participation to the definition of the architectural specifications - Participation to the redaction of the deliverable D.4.1

2.6.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	Due	Deliverable	Status (Final, Draft, Pending)
WP1/T1-1	M7	D.1.1.1: Management report	Draft
WP3/T3-1	M0,5	D.3.1 Brainstorming Requirement Analysis	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1	D.3.2 Report of First Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1,5	D.3.3 Report of Second Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M2	D.3.4 Report of Third Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-2	M2,5	D.3.5 Review of the draft of the definition of the perimeter of the project	Final
WP3/T3-2	M3	D.3.6 Definition of the perimeter of the project	Final
WP4/T4-1	M4	D.4.1 Architectural Specifications	Final

2.6.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours This Period	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End		Since start
WP3							
T3-1	140	M0	M2	M0	M2,5	140	140
T3-2	70	M1,5	M3	M1	M7	70	70
Total	**210**	**M0**	**M3**	**M0**	**M7**	**210**	**210**
WP4							
T4-1	100	M2	M3,5	M3	M4,5	100	100
T4-2	100	M3,5	M6	M4,5	M7	100	100
T4-3	80	M3,5	M6	M4,5	M7	0	0
Total	**280**	**M3**	**M6**	**M3**	**M7**	**200**	**200**

2.7 INTESA

Partner:	INTESA	**Author:**	**Franco TAFFINI**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.7.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	Actions
WP1	**Project Management**
T1-1	Project Management : -Redaction of the Management reports and Cost Reports for INTESA
WP3	**Requirements**
T3-1	Requirements Analysis INTESA has participated to WP3 in order to define the business & organisational model for a PKI implementation starting from our experience as commercial and public CA.
T3-2	Definition of the project perimeter and requirements We have attended all meetings dedicated to this WP and provided organizational and technological contributions.

2.7.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	Due	Deliverable	Status (Final, Draft, Pending)
WP1/T1-1	M7	D.1.1.1: Management report	Draft
WP3/T3-1	M0,5	D.3.1 Brainstorming Requirement Analysis	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1	D.3.2 Report of First Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1,5	D.3.3 Report of Second Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M2	D.3.4 Report of Third Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-2	M2,5	D.3.5 Review of the draft of the definition of the perimeter of the project	Final
WP3/T3-2	M3	D.3.6 Definition of the perimeter of the project	Final

2.7.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End	This Period	Since start
WP3							
T3-1	50	M0	M2	M0	M2,5	50	50
T3-2	20	M1,5	M3	M5	M7	20	20
Total	**70**	**M0**	**M3**	**M0**	**M7**	**70**	**70**

2.8 CGE&Y

Partner:	CGE&Y	**Author:**	**Edouard JEANSON**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.8.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	**Actions**
WP1	**Project Management**
T1-1	Project Management : - Production of the Management Reports - Participation to the Steering Committee meetings - General coordination of the WP3
T1-2	Technical Management : - Participation to the redaction of the Technical Report
WP3	**Requirements**
T3-1	Requirements Analysis - Lead, organisation and coordination of the Brainstorming Meetings - Production of the deliverables D.3.1, D.3.2, D.3.3, D.3.4
T3-2	Definition of the project perimeter and requirements - Production of the deliverables D.3.5, D.3.6 - Definition of the perimeter of the project
WP4	**Specifications & design**
T4-1	Overall Architecture - Participation to the definition of the architectural specifications - Participation to the redaction of the deliverable D.4.1

2.8.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	Due	Deliverable	Status (Final, Draft, Pending)
WP1/T1-1	M7	D.1.1.1: Management report	Draft
WP3/T3-1	M0,5	D.3.1 Brainstorming Requirement Analysis	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1	D.3.2 Report of First Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1,5	D.3.3 Report of Second Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M2	D.3.4 Report of Third Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-2	M2,5	D.3.5 Review of the draft of the definition of the perimeter of the project	Final
WP3/T3-2	M3	D.3.6 Definition of the perimeter of the project	Final
WP4/T4-1	M3	D.4.1 Architectural Specifications	Final

2.8.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End	This Period	Since start
WP1							
T1-1	140	M0	M20	M0	M20	40	40
T1-2	140	M0	M20	M0	M20	10	10
Total	**280**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**50**	**50**
WP3							
T3-1	400	M0	M2	M0	M2,5	330	330
T3-2	1000	M1,5	M3	M5	M7	1070	1070
Total	**1400**	**M0**	**M3**	**M0**	**M7**	**1400**	**1400**
WP4							
T4-1	160	M2	M3,5	M3	M4,5	160	160
T4-2	200	M3,5	M6	M4,5	M7	100	100
T4-3	200	M3,5	M6	M4,5	M7	0	0
Total	**560**	**M2**	**M6**	**M3**	**M7**	**260**	**260**

2.9 EDF

Partner:	EDF	**Author:**	**Ludovic PIETRE-CAMBACEDES**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.9.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	**Actions**
WP1	**Project Management**
T1-1	Project Management: Steering boards attendance
T1-2	Technical Management Technical boards attendance
WP2	**Dissemination & Exploitation**
T2-1	Dissemination Tools Implementation Report : Comments & feed-backs on the different dissemination tools
T2-2	Dissemination Activities : Internal animation (among EDF, Gaz de France and RTE security experts) Informal dissemination Intervention in the X-Aristote Program Committee
WP3	**Requirements**
T3-1	Requirements Analysis : Internal inquiries (requirements definition) and restitution Brainstorming sessions attendance and participation Inputs and feedbacks on all the related deliverables (D.3.1, D.3.2, D.3.3, D.3.4)
T3-2	Definition of the project perimeter and requirements: Feedbacks and inputs for the D.3.5 & D3.6 deliverables Meetings attendance

2.9.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	Due	Deliverable	Status (Final, Draft, Pending)
WP1/T1-1	M7	D.1.1.1: Management report	Draft
WP3/T3-1	M0,5	D.3.1 Brainstorming Requirement Analysis	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1	D.3.2 Report of First Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1,5	D.3.3 Report of Second Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M2	D.3.4 Report of Third Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-2	M2,5	D.3.5 Review of the draft of the definition of the perimeter of the project	Final
WP3/T3-2	M3	D.3.6 Definition of the perimeter of the project	Final

2.9.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End	This Period	Since start
WP1							
T1-1	14	M0	M20	M0	M20	12	12
T1-2	14	M0	M20	M0	M20	12	12
Total	**28**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**24**	**24**
WP2							
T2-1	0	M0	M2	M0	M2	4	4
T2-2	20	M2	M20	M2	M20	12	12
T2-3	8	M3	M20	M0	M20	0	0
Total	**28**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**16**	**16**
WP3							
T3-1	50	M0	M2	M0	M2,5	130	130
T3-2	48	M1,5	M3	M5	M7	100	100
Total	**98**	**M0**	**M3**	**M0**	**M7**	**230**	**230**

2.10 FT R&D

Partner:	FT R&D	**Author:**	**Béatrice RENARD**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.10.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	**Actions**
WP1	**Project Management**
T1-1	Project Management : - Production of the Management Reports - Participation to the Steering Committee meetings
T1-2	Technical Management : - Participation to the redaction of the Technical Report
WP3	**Requirements**
T3-1	Requirements Analysis - Participation to the Brainstorming Meetings - Participation to the redaction of the deliverables D.3.1, D.3.2, D.3.3, D.3.4
T3-2	Definition of the project perimeter and requirements - Participation to the redaction of the deliverables D.3.5, D.3.6

2.10.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	Due	Deliverable	Status (Final, Draft, Pending)
WP1/T1-1	M7	D.1.1.1: Management report	Draft
WP3/T3-1	M0,5	D.3.1 Brainstorming Requirement Analysis	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1	D.3.2 Report of First Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1,5	D.3.3 Report of Second Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M2	D.3.4 Report of Third Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-2	M2,5	D.3.5 Review of the draft of the definition of the perimeter of the project	Final
WP3/T3-2	M3	D.3.6 Definition of the perimeter of the project	Final

2.10.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End	This Period	Since start
WP1							
T1-1	140	M0	M20	M0	M20	35	35
T1-2	0	M0	M20	M0	M20	0	0
Total	**140**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**35**	**35**
WP2							
T2-2	42	M2	M20	M2	M20	0	0
Total	**42**	**M2**	**M20**	**M2**	**M20**	**0**	**0**
WP3							
T3-1	100	M0	M2	M0	M2,5	91	91
T3-2	82	M1,5	M3	M5	M7	70	70
Total	**182**	**M0**	**M3**	**M0**	**M7**	**161**	**161**

2.11 FINSIEL

Partner:	FINSIEL	**Author:**	**Paolo ARENACCIO**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.11.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	Actions
WP1	**Project Management**
T1-1	Project Management : Finsiel participated to - Kick-off meeting held in Paris on 11-12 April 2002 - Steering and Dissemination Board meeting held in Munich on 5th July 2002
WP3	**Requirements**
T3-1	Requirements Analysis: Finsiel took part to the following Requirement Analysis meetings: - Paris, 24th of April 2002 - Paris, 21st of May 2002 - Paris, 4th of June 2002 Finsiel cooperated to the definition of end user requirements and scenarios and to the preparation of WP Deliverables
T3-2	Definition of the project perimeter and requirements: Finsiel took part to the WP3 review meeting held in Munich on 4th of July 2002 and contributed to the final refinement of WP Deliverables

2.11.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	Due	Deliverable	Status (Final, Draft, Pending)
WP1/T1-1	M7	D.1.1.1: Management report	Draft
WP3/T3-1	M0,5	D.3.1 Brainstorming Requirement Analysis	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1	D.3.2 Report of First Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1,5	D.3.3 Report of Second Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M2	D.3.4 Report of Third Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-2	M2,5	D.3.5 Review of the draft of the definition of the perimeter of the project	Final
WP3/T3-2	M3	D.3.6 Definition of the perimeter of the project	Final

2.11.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours This Period	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End		Since start
WP3							
T3-1	70	M0	M2	M0	M2,5	70	70
T3-2	70	M1,5	M3	M5	M7	70	70
Total	**140**	**M0**	**M3**	**M0**	**M7**	**140**	**140**

2.12 INPS

Partner:	INPS	**Author:**	**Annarita SALA**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.12.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	**Action**
WP3	**Requirements**
T3-1	Requirements Analysis: -Participation to the Brainstorming Meetings lead by CGE&Y
T3-2	Perimeter of the project: -Participation to the redaction of the deliverables D.3.5 & D.3.6

2.12.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	**Due**	**Deliverable**	**Status (Final, Draft, Pending)**
WP1/T1-1	M7	D.1.1.1: Management report	Draft
WP3/T3-1	M0,5	D.3.1 Brainstorming Requirement Analysis	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1	D.3.2 Report of First Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M1,5	D.3.3 Report of Second Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-1	M2	D.3.4 Report of Third Meeting	Final
WP3/T3-2	M2,5	D.3.5 Review of the draft of the definition of the perimeter of the project	Final
WP3/T3-2	M3	D.3.6 Definition of the perimeter of the project	Final

2.12.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours This Period	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End		Since start
WP3							
T3-1	140	M0	M2	M0	M2,5	145	145
Total	**140**	**M0**	**M2**	**M0**	**M2,5**	**145**	**145**

2.13 Giesecke & Devrient

Partner:	Giesecke & Devrient	**Author:**	**Christoph SCHILLER**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.13.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	**Actions**
WP1	**Project Management**
T1-1	Project Management : - participated in board meetings in Paris - organized meetings in Munich
T1-2	Technical Management - participated in meetings in Paris and Munich
WP2	**Dissemination & Exploitation**
T2-1	Dissemination Tools Implementation Report - no activities
T2-2	Dissemination Activities - presented Project to BSI in Bonn (Bundesamt für Informationssicherheit)
T2-3	Exploitation Activities - used the Webserver extensively - gave feedback for improvement
WP3	**Requirements**
T3-1	Requirements Analysis - participated in workshops in Paris, - participated in document organisation and writing
T3-2	Definition of the project perimeter and requirements - driven discussion on project requirements - participated to meetings and document organisation and writing
WP4	**Specifications & design**
T4-1	**Specification of Overall Architecture** - organized kick-off meeting

	- structured the document - planned the work - received approval from consortium - organized working meetings and TV conferences - organized the work packages of every WP 4.1 participant - collected input form consortium members who are not part of the WP 4 - written over half of the document - reorganized work load distribution - written use cases - defined module structure and list - with other WP4 members specified each module - organized review meeting and integration of comments in to D4.1 - coordinated the checks with the WP3 documents - delivered input to the WP3 documents - delivered the full, approved, and final WP 4.1 document called **"Architectural specification"**
T4-2	**Specification of External Interface** - presented the kick-off in Paris - structured the document - got approval from the consortium - organized the weekly work packages of every WP 4.2 participant - written and distributed first draft of document
T4-3	**Specification of relations between core components** - presented the kick-off in Paris - structured the document - got approval from the consortium - organised the weekly work packages of every WP 4.3 participant
WP5	**Implementation**
T5-1	Development of the components - collected input about existing components - summarized the input to the WP5 leader
T5-2	Support on developped components - no activities
WP8	**Guidelines for Security & Homologation**
T8-1	Scope of the homologation process - helped in defining the scope
T8-2	Stating of the Security requirements - gave input for this topic

2.13.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	Due	Deliverable	Status (Final, Draft, Pending)
WP4/T4-1	M4	D4.1 « Architectural Specification »	Final
WP4/T4-2	M8	D4.2 « Specification of External Interfaces »	Draft
WP1/T1-1	M7	D.1.1.1 « Management Report 1 »	Draft

2.13.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours This Period	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End		Since start
WP1							
T1-1	70	M0	M20	M0	M20	17	17
T1-2	70	M0	M20	M0	M20	55	55
Total	**140**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**72**	**72**
WP2							
T2-2	28	M2	M20	M2	M20	25	25
Total	**28**	**M2**	**M20**	**M2**	**M20**	**25**	**25**
WP3							
T3-1	70	M0	M2	M0	M2,5	60	60
T3-2	70	M1,5	M3	M5	M7	44	44
Total	**140**	**M0**	**M3**	**M0**	**M7**	**104**	**104**
WP4							
T4-1	400	M2	M3,5	M3	M4,5	400	400
T4-2	200	M3,5	M6	M4,5	M7	200	200
T4-3	184	M3,5	M6	M4,5	M7	100,5	100,5
Total	**784**	**M2**	**M6**	**M3**	**M7**	**700,5**	**700,5**
WP5							
T5-1	280	M5	M12	M5	M8	0	0
Total	**280**	**M5**	**M12**	**M5**	**M8**	**0**	**0**
WP6							
T6-1	140	M2	M18	-	-	8	8
Total	**140**	**M2**	**M18**	**-**	**-**	**8**	**8**
WP8							
T8-2	0	M0	M17	-	-	8	8
Total	**0**	**M0**	**M17**	**-**	**-**	**8**	**8**

2.14 ON-X

Partner:	ON-X	**Author:**	**Paul-André PAYS**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.14.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	**Actions**
WP1	**Project Management**
T1-1	Project Management - Participation to the 3 EUPKI consortium meetings
T1-2	Technical Management - Participation to the 2 EUPKI technical boards
WP2	Dissemination & Exploitation
T2-1	Dissemination Tools Implementation Report - Contribution of comments to the initial report
WP3	Requirements
T3-1	Requirements Analysis - Participation to the "brain-storming meeting" &Contribution of comments to the initial report
T3-2	Definition of the project perimeter and requirements - Participation to the Munich meeting &Contribution of comments to the report

WP6	**Integration**
T6-1	Technical Integration Coordination /Architectural Framework Implementation - Coordination of the integration WP activities
T6-2	Preparatory activities : - Initial report (progress report one) - Elaboration of a set of documents (integration guidelines and procedure) - Analysis and initial specifications of the technical tools required

2.14.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	Due	Deliverable	Status (Final, Draft, Pending)
WP1 / T1-1	M7	D.1.1.1 : « Management report »	Draft

2.14.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End	This Period	Since start
WP1							
T1-1	70	M0	M20	M0	M20	32	32
T1-2	70	M0	M20	M0	M20	28	28
Total	**140**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**60**	**60**
WP2							
T2-1	140	M0	M20	M0	M2	0	0
T2-2	0	M0	M20	M2	M20	0	0
Total	**140**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**0**	**0**
WP6							
T6-1	600	M2	M18	M2	M7	392	392
T6-2	540	M3	M13	M3	M7	400	400
T6-3	600	-	-	-	-	0	0
T6-4	800	-	-	-	-	0	0
T6-5	600	-	-	-	-	0	0
T6-6	200	-	-	-	-	0	0
T6-7	300	-	-	-	-	0	0
Total	**3640**	**M2**	**M13**	**M2**	**M13**	**792**	**792**

2.15 EADS-TELECOM

Partner:	EADS-TELECOM	**Author:**	**Didier BONNEROT**
Period:	April 1 – October 31, 2002	**Date:**	15/10/2002

2.15.1 Work accomplished this period

WP/ Task	**Actions**
WP1	**Project Management**
T1-1	*Project Management* • Participation to the 3 EUPKI consortium meetings
T1-2	*Technical Management* • Preparation of the 2 EUPKI technical boards : documents analysis and technical synthesis of the work and studies performed
WP6	**Integration**
T6-1	Technical Integration Coordination /Architectural Framework Implementation
T6-2	Launching of the first studies : • Writing of the procedure for the Open Source contributions reception • Inventory of potential software that can be integrated to the project

2.15.2 Deliverables due this period

WP/ Task	Due	Deliverable	Status (Final, Draft, Pending)
WP1 / T1-1	M7	D.1.1.1 : « Management report »	Draft

2.15.3 Effort for this Reporting Period

WP/Task	Planned Effort (mh)	Planned Date		Actual Date		Human resources in Person Hours	Cumulative Resources
	Whole Project	Start	End	Start	End	This Period	Since start
WP1							
T1-1	42	M0	M20	M0	M20	64	64
T1-2		M0	M20	M0	M20	28	28
Total	**42**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**92**	**92**
WP2							
T2-1	0	M0	M2	M0	M2	0	0
T2-2	42	M2	M20	M2	M20	0	0
Total	**42**	**M0**	**M20**	**M0**	**M20**	**0**	**0**
WP6							
T6-1	300	M0	M18	M2	M18	8	8
T6-2	100	M3	M13	M2	M13	60	60
T6-3	100	-	-	-	-	0	0
T6-4	100	-	-	-	-	0	0
T6-5	100	-	-	-	-	0	0
T6-6	50	-	-	-	-	0	0
T6-7	6	-	-	-	-	0	0
Total	**756**	**M0**	**M18**	**M0**	**M18**	**68**	**68**

3 Management overview

3.1 Issues encountered during this period and solutions

3.1.1 Change of the Manager of the project

Eduard TRIC was the Steering Animator and Project Coordinator at the Beginning of the project.
Since he resigned from GIP-MDS in May, Jacques SAURET, General Director of GIP-MDS proposed Mr. Yann FRAVAL, Deputy Director of GIP-MDS, at the head of the consortium. This decision was unanimously accepted by the members of the consortium.
This situation was officially approved by the European Commission the 3rd of June 2002.

3.1.2 Delay in the D.3.6 final validation

The final review Meeting of D.3.6 and WP3 was held in Munich the 4th of July 2002.
It led to admit that the D.3.6: "Project Perimeter and Requirements" was not enough completed to allow a functionnal scope for the WP4 work. Many suggestions of modifications and improvements of the document have been made by the members so that the D.3.6 becomes more complete.
Consequently, initially planned for mid-july, the date of official delivery for validation of this document was prorogated to the end of september.
The Commission was formally informed during the meeting organized with the Project's Managers the 1st of August 2002.
However, the work hand-in-hand with WP4 has allowed to avoid any latency in the WP4 deadlines since the D.4.1 document elaboration lied on already stated information in the D.3.6 draft. The collaboration of both Work Packages has fulfilled the project's requirements.

3.1.3 WP4 human ressources allocation changes

The Steering Committee of Munich the 5th of July led to admit that the human ressource allocations previously planned for WP4 was not convenient for a functional approach, since some partners had more ressources allocated on the WP than the WP leader, Giesecke & Devrient.
Consequently, one change was made to the ressources allocated like follows: 2 Men Months were transfered from CertiNomis to Giesecke & Devrient.
Initially the distribution was:

- GIP MDS : 0,5
- AQL : 0,5
- Axetel : 2
- G&D : 3,6
- CGEY : 4
- Certinomis : 4

And it became, with the agreement of all the concerned members:

- GIP MDS : 0,5
- AQL : 0,5
- Axetel : 2
- G&D : 5,6
- CGEY : 4
- Certinomis : 2

The EC was informed of that change during the meeting of the 1st of August 2002.

3.1.4 Request from the consortium concerning the payment periodicity

During the second Steering Board 24/09, one request was made by one member, AXETEL: since one mistake was made in the contract concerning the periodicity of the payment, it wanted to make a request to the European Commission to put the second payment at the initially planned date, i.e. the midth of the project T0+10 and not T0+12 as stated.
GIP-MDS has asked the European Commission the conditions to make this amendment. The answer was that it is a ”hard amendment” which requires a change in the contract. All the members will have to sign the new contract.

This information will be transmitted for next Steering Board and a common decision will be voted.

3.2 Management and cost reports

GIP-MDS, as the project coordinator, has asked all the members to transmit global management and cost reports each month.
These reports follow the templates provided by WP1 and displayed in the first WP1 deliverable: the Quality Management Plan.

More than that, each Work Package leader asks for cost reports each month to check the allocation of the human ressources for the considered Work Package.

3.3 Global Coordination and reporting effort to the European Commission

To assume an efficient coordination and to allow the European Commission to be aware of the progress in the project, regular meetings are organized with the project's EC coordinator, Mr. Junger.
Yann FRAVAL & Vincent GASS have reached him in Brussels on the 1st of August. Moreover, regular mails are meant to signal any problem encountered.
The European Commission is regularly informed of the last achievements of the consortium by phone.

3.4 Distribution of the project's budget advance

The Budget advance has been given from the EC to GIP-MDS. The latter has distributed the advance to all the Work Package leaders, who themselves have re-distributed the part allocated to the non-contracting members. This distribution has strictely followed the contract recalled below:

Participant Role (1)	Principal contractor No / Member linked to principal contractor No	Participant Short Name	Estimated eligible costs in euro	Maximum Community Contribution in euro	Advance in euro	Maximum rate of Community contribution to eligible costs by categories (2)																	
						Personnel		Durable equipment		Subcontracting		Travel and subsistence		Consumables		Computing (3)		Protection of knowledge		Other specific costs		Overheads	
						Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate
CO	1	GIP MDS	40,378	21,920		19,920	100 %	2,778	50%	0	50%	4,000	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	13,680	0%
CO	1	GIP MDS (Coordination)	58,822	34,001		30,460	100 %	3,082	50%			4,000	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	21,280	0%
		Total	99,200	55,921	22,368	50,380		5,860		0		8,000		0		0		0		0		34,960	
CR	2	ACTIMAGE	134,704	87,949	35,179	82,560	100 %	2,778	50%	0	50%	8,000	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	41,366	0%
MB	3/2	SALAMANDRE	36,740	20,240	8,096	19,040	100 %	0	50%	0	50%	2,400	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	15,300	0%
CR	4	AQL	90,060	44,262	17,704	40,512	100 %	0	50%	0	50%	7,500	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	42,048	0%
CR	5	AXETEL	165,502	149,185	59,673	144,182	100 %	1,005	50%	0	50%	9,000	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	11,315	0%
CR	6	CERTINOMIS	178,320	109,950	43,980	103,950	100 %	0	50%	0	50%	12,000	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	62,370	0%
MB	7/6	INTESA	41,014	33,000	13,200	32,000	100 %	0	50%	0	50%	2,000	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	7,014	0%
CR	8	CGEY	175,079	154,009	61,603	147,009	100 %	0	50%	0	50%	14,000	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	14,070	0%
MB	9/8	EDF	36,886	20,522	8,208	19,522	100 %	0	50%	0	50%	2,000	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	15,364	0%
MB	10/8	FTRD	46,720	23,360	9,344	20,300	100 %	0	50%	0	50%	5,000	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	1,120	100%	20,300	0%
MB	11/8	FINSIEL	65,000	41,273	16,509	38,798	100 %	0	50%	0	50%	4,950	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	21,252	0%

Footnotes

1. Participant Role Codes : CO=Coordinator
CR=Principal Contractor
AC=Assistant Contractor
MB=Member
CS=Scientific Coordinator

2. Although the provisions authorise the transfer of the Community contribution between categories of costs , *participants* are not authorised to transfer the budget between categories of costs where no funding is provided for, as indicated by a zero percentage in the above table.

3. Computing costs : Only invoiced costs paid to third parties are eligible.

Participant Role (1)	Principal contractor No / Member linked to principal contractor No	Participant Short Name	Estimated eligible costs in euro	Maximum Community Contribution in euro	Advance in euro	Maximum rate of Community contribution to eligible costs by categories (2)																	
						Personnel		Durable equipment		Subcontracting		Travel and subsistence		Consumables		Computing (3)		Protection of knowledge		Other specific costs		Overheads	
						Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate	Estimated costs	Maximum rate
MB	12/8	INPS	26,711	19,485	7,794	17,010	100%	0	50%	0	50%	4,950	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	4,751	0%
CR	13	G+D	139,661	77,034	30,813	72,034	100%	0	50%	0	50%	9,000	50%	1,000	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	57,627	0%
CR	14	ONX	288,599	205,988	82,395	203,988	100%	4,056	50%	0	50%	4,000	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	76,555	0%
MB	15/14	EADS	62,012	47,548	19,019	47,548	100%	0	50%	0	50%	1,220	50%	0	50%	0	50%	0	0%	0	100%	13,244	0%

A certified copy of the contract has been distributed to each member.

4 Action plans for the continuation of the project

Next Steering Board will occur on the 27th & 28th of November in Paris, at GIP-MDS.
The goal is to formalize the WP5 action plan and deadlines for the delivery of the modules in accordance with WP6.
Next step is also the end of WP4 specifications & design.

WP2 will plan to make more presentations since we have concrete achievements to disseminate. More than that, it will deliver the first exploitation plan.

WP8 will produce two more documents clarifying the guidelines if we plan to reach a potential homologation.

Concerning WP1, some recommandations from the planned Review Meeting in Brussels, the 3rd of december will for sure help to provide an efficient coordination of the consortium, since the most difficult phasis are still to come (production and exploitation).

5 Conclusions

This first period of the EuPKI project has led to walk through several steps. Our technical achievements do not fail our objectives and our goal has not stopped to be more and more precise.
EuPKI does not put in evidence any latency or particular issue.
The actors of that project are particularly involved and motivated. Their different profiles and skills are but a considerable asset to take advantage of their complementarity.
Sticking to the initial planning, we plan to deliver the first Beta Versions of the solution around March 2003.
The quality of our deliverables should be submitted to the expert validation next Review Meeting.
No major point has to be raised concerning Management Aspects, even if this management is not always easy, because of the many differences in the members' points of view. Anyway, the balance between the different partners has always proved to be profitable for the project.
The technical level of the production is to confirm the deep involvement of our technical providers.
One very important tool for the project continuation will be for sure the efficiency of the collaborative work, so that, hand-in-hand, each member can bring his expertise to finally deliver one major security solution in Europe.